UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FILED

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES	
	2008 JUL - 1 AM 11: 10
	This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp
ГО: 🔽	Judge Irma E. Gonzalez
U. S. DISTR	ICT JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: <u>J. Hinkle</u>	V
	7-IEG(RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Dft Sally Dawn Cobb
CASE TITLE: <u>USA</u>	v Cobb
DOCUMENT ENTITL	ED: Exhibit A
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
/ Local Rule	Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
X	Supplemental documents require court order
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
_ n	OTHER: "Motion to Quash" not on docket. Copy of Motion sent w/ exhibit has a
_	ree'd stamp on it so it was most likely sent up to chambers on discrepancy. Also no proof of service.
	Date forwarded: _6/27/08
	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Γ IS HEREBY ORDE	RED:
The document is	to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is copy of this orde	NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a r on all parties.
Rejected docume	ent to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant	
to Local Rule 83.	CHAMBERS OF:
D. 1 1/2	/28 Dru 4.
Dated: <u>6/3</u> cc: All Parties	by: